

## Introduction

This **document** is the fourth update to the **Lakeview Rangeland Program Summary (RPS)** and describes the status of implementing the grazing management program in the **Lakeview District**. Previous updates to the **RPS** occurred in 1982, 1984, and 1987. Since the last RPS update was published, several changes have occurred in the Rangeland Management Program.

## Purpose

The purpose of this document is to report the progress in implementing the **Lakeview District's** Rangeland Management Program, to provide notice of future actions to be taken, and to provide notice to the public of the opportunity for participation by those who feel they are an affected interest in any future livestock grazing decisions.

A reorganization of the **Lakeview District** in April of 1988 resulted in some major changes. The High Desert Resource Area and the Warner Lakes Resource Areas were combined to create the **Lakeview Resource Area**. A realignment in the boundary with the **Medford District** resulted in the **transfer** of ten grazing allotments to management by the Klamath Falls Resource Area.

**Other** changes not prompted by the reorganization include the **recategorization** of some allotments. The changes in categorization are listed by the old and new category in Table 1.

## Future Actions

The Lakeview District will be conducting allotment evaluations for the following allotments in the **Lakeview Resource Area** during the year indicated.

### Allotment Name & Number Year

103	<b>ZX Christmas Lake</b>	<b>1990</b>
7 13	Silver Creek	<b>1990</b>
712	Bridge Wells	<b>1990</b>
428	<b>Sheep Rock</b>	1990
2 11	Round Mountain	1990
2 12	Gravelly-Rahilly	1990
522	<b>Abert Seeding</b>	1991
426	Five Mile Butte	<b>1991</b>
429	Twin Lakes	1991
433	East Jug Mountain	1991
432	Coleman	1991
222	<b>Fisher Lake</b>	1991
215	Hill Camp	1991

An evaluation consists of assembling monitoring data gathered in the last 3 to **5 years**, an **interdisciplinary** review of this data, and **recommendations** for future management actions.

The Warner Lakes **Plan** Amendment for Wetlands and Associated Uplands was completed in May of 1989. At the present time, an Activity Plan is being developed for the Area of Critical Environmental Concern (**ACEC**) which was designated through the planning process. It is anticipated that **agreements** or grazing decisions **will** be issued for the following **allotments** in 1990 to resolve mitigation of the plan amendment.

502	Fitzgerald ( part)
504	Kiely
507	Laird
523	Warner Lakes

## Wildhorses

The Beatty Butte wild horse herd management area was gathered in **October** of 1988. **One** hundred and seventy nine head of horses were removed.

The most recent inventory showed **the** following numbers for **the three wildhorse herd management areas in the Lakeview District**. **The** maximum and minimum numbers allowed are alsoshown.

Herd Area	latest	maximum	minimum
Paisley	60*	110	60
Beatty Butte	150*	250	100
Pokegama	42**	50	25

\* Last Inventory Fall 1989

\*\* Last Inventory Fall 1988

## Affected Interests

If you believe that any of the future actions indicated in this **RPS** update may affect **your** interests, please contact the district manager in writing by **March 30, 1990**. Please be **specific** about those actions which are of concern to you. Note the allotment or allotments involved and your reason for believing that you have an interest which could be affected **by future** actions. The **district manager** will **provide** those **determined** to be an **affected interest** with an opportunity to participate in the development of grazing management plans in **the** affected allotments.

The Alkali Winter Allotment (1001) is being evaluated and was identified as an area for mitigation in the Warner Lakes Plan Amendment for Wetlands and Associated Uplands. The affected interests have been identified and the BLM is currently working with them and **the** affected livestock permittees on future allocations.

## Selected Management Criteria

Grazing allotments are assigned an allotment category during planning. This categorization is used to establish priorities for distributing available funds and personnel. Categorization is also used to organize allotments into similar groups for purposes of developing multiple use prescriptions, analyzing site specific and cumulative impacts and determining trade-offs.

Categorization involves placing allotments into one of three categories. These categories broadly define **rangeland** management objectives after an analysis of the allotment's resource characteristics, potential, opportunities and needs. These categories are: Maintain, Improve and Custodial for which the criteria are listed below.

### **MAINTAIN or M**

1. Present range condition is satisfactory.
2. Allotments have moderate or high resource production potential and are producing near their potential (or trend is moving in that direction).
3. No serious resource-use conflicts/controversy exist.
4. Opportunities may exist for positive economic **return** from public investments.
5. Present management appears satisfactory.
6. Other criteria appropriate to the environmental impact statement analysis **area**.

### **IMPROVE or I**

1. Present range condition is **unsatisfactory**.
2. Allotments have moderate to high **resource** production potential and are producing at low to moderate levels.
3. Serious resource use conflicts/controversy exists.
4. Opportunities exist for positive economic **return from** public investments.
5. **Present** management appears unsatisfactory.
6. Other criteria appropriate to the environmental impact statement analysis area.

### **CUSTODIAL or C**

1. Present range condition is not a factor.
2. Allotments have low resource production potential and **are** producing near their potential.
3. Limited resource use conflict/controversy may exist.
4. Opportunities for positive economic **return** on public investment do not exist or are constrained by technological or economic factors.
5. Present management appears satisfactory or is the only logical practice under existing resource conditions.
6. Other criteria appropriate to the environmental impact statement analysis area.

## Changing Criteria

Allotments may be moved from one category to another as new information becomes available, resource conditions change or management activities are implemented. Such changes must be supported by a documented analysis showing the basis for the change.

The changes shown in Table 1 have gone through public coordination, and consultation and approval by the **Lakeview** District Grazing Advisory Board.

**TABLE 1**

Allotment Name & No.	Old	New
100 Peter Creek	I	M
102 Crack In the Ground	M	I
220 Rim	M	C
400 Paisley Common	I	c
404 Willow Creek	I	M
409 Tucker Hill	M	C
421 Rosebud	M	C
704 Ward Lake	M	I
705 Oatman Flat	M	I
801 Haught	I	C
815 Stukel-Dehlinger	C	I
828 Stukel-Hill	c	I
848 Pope	I	C
851 Harpold Ridge	I	M
852 Rodgers	C	I
855 Bryant-Smith	M	I
858 Venable and Biaggi	M	I
859 Cunard	M	I
860 McCartie	c	I
876 Bear Valley	I	I
883 Horton	M	I
884 Pankey Basin	C	I
885 Dry Prairie	M	I
886 Horse Camp Rim	M	I
887 Pitchlog	M	I
889 Timber Hill		I
890 Willow Valley		I

## Allotment Changes in the District

The Stateline allotment is now split into three separate allotments, Willow Valley, Timber Hill, and Bear Valley. The allotment numbers and categorizations are listed in Table 1.

**The North Warner** Forage Allocation Agreement was implemented resulting in four (4) individual allotments within the Rabbit Basin Allotment.

The following allotments were acquired from the Medford District during reorganization.

**Allotment Name & No. Category**

0101	chase Mtn.	C
0102	Edge Creek	I
0103	Buck Mm.	C
0104	Buck Lake	C
0105	Johnson Prairie	C
0107	Dixie	I
0140	Dry Lake	C
0141	Chicken Hills	C
0142	Long Lake	C
0147	Grubb Springs	C

The riparian enhancement and range improvement projects shown in Tables 2 and 3 have been completed since the last RPS update in 1987.

The completed projects are in conformance with the land use allocations and management direction prescribed in the Warner Lakes, High Desert, Lost River and Jackson-Klamath Management Framework Plans and the Warner Lakes Plan Amendment for Wetlands and Associated uplands.

**TABLE 2****Riparian Enhancement Projects**

Allotment Name	Improvement	Completed
201 Vinyard	Spring	1 Spring
211 Round Mt. juniper reveget.		1 mile
211 Round Mt. fence		1/2 mile
211 Round Mm. juniper control		10 acres
600 Beatty Butte	rock check dams	2 miles
702 Buck/Bridge Ck.	well	1 well
882 Horsefly	fence	2.5 miles

**TABLE 3**  
**Range Improvement Projects**

Allotment Name	Improvement	Completed
100 Peter Creek	Pipeline	2 miles
207 Lane Plan I	fence	2 miles
213 Burro Spring	Seeding	100 acres
216 O'Keefe Indiv.	Brush Control	200 acres
2.22 Fisher Lake	Well	1 well
426 Five Mile Butte	Fence	10 miles
429 Twin Lakes	Fence	4 miles
511/ NE Warner/	Fence	13 miles
514 Corn Lake		
514 Corn Lake	Fence	7 miles
516 Rabbit Basin	Wells	3 wells
516 Rabbit Basin	Fence	6 miles
517 Coyote Colvin	Fence	8 miles
517 Coyote Colvin	P&line	1 mile
600 Beatty Butte	Waterholes	2 waterholes
700 Silver Creek	seeding	600 acres
700 Silver Creek	Fence	1 mile
702 Buck/Bridge Ck	Fences	2 miles
702 Buck/Bridge Ck	Wells	1 well
706 Rye Ranch	Wells	1 well
707 Tuff Butte	Pipelines	2 miles
815 Stukel-Dehlinger	Fences	1 mile
877 Bumpheads	Reservoirs	3 reserv.
882 Horsefly	Fence	5 miles
885 Dry Prairie	Fence	2 miles
886 Horse Camp Rim	Fence	2 miles
886 Horse Camp Rim	Reservoirs	2 reserv.
889 Timber Hill	Reservoir	1 reserv.
890 Willow Valley	Fence	1 mile
890 Willow Valley	Reservoirs	1 reserv.
900 Fremont	Brush Control	1,000 acres
903 Beasley Lake	Seeding	400 acres
1000 Little Juniper Spr.	Fence	10 miles
1001 Alkali Winter	Fence	8 miles
1001 Alkali Winter	Wells	2 wells

TERRY H. SODORFF, ACTING DISTRICT MANAGER

DATE

2/28/90